App F IX 4

Previous References

1946 47
(ml)

File No 67-46
Serial Nos 3, 4

Later Referenc s

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos
170-0

Part B for January

SUBJECT
placing Toll establishment of Bo Banun
Minor municipal Council on
a permanent basis

L 9951 dy 13.1.47
Mr D C Mysore
Issue instructions re —

3961/K

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

Letter No 3C 1429/44 dt 6.12.44 from the Myne Dir forwarding Sanad for the levy of DM at the Banner Mines at the enhancement of the DM established consisting of 3 pies on a pay of Rs 10/- p.m. of the dearness allowance of Rs 3-8-0 p.m. in force at the year 1943 however

1) The Banner Mines shall have to switch place the levy of DM & the establishment thereof on a permanent basis

2) The preliminaries like the working of the mines to levy the DM & collection & objection to the same should be observed before levy of DM is recorded in the Sanad orders & thereby the mines may be guided with the Myne Dir

3) P.L. puts up a draft letter for the D.C. Myne Dir as (enclosure) plan up from 1/1/44 (1.4.44) duly with a rough sketch

4) 19/12/5) Banner is a mine with the D.C. report that DM has been levied in that Hlk from 10 Sept 1943 The levy is irregular & ultra-vires, as the DM can be levied only after Naung previous sanction of Govt It is rather strange that the elementary requisite of Naung previous

WD 0726—GPB—20 000—15 9 44

Sanction ... has been overlooked.
Further, ... the work ... of
the temporary establishment ... sanctioned ... the collector ...
The report of the Board ... dated 16/5/57 ... to the effect that
the extra work ... should be confirmed
... nothing is mentioned about the ...
... is not clear ... the ...
based on this report, makes a
recommendation to place the levy on
permanent basis
6) The proposal ... cannot be sanctioned,
as the ... procedure ... not been
observed 7) ... calling for further
... is putting for appl ...

Law Dept for
2/12/57
8) ...
22/12 ...
9) ... Secretariat
for 24/12/57

... 6253 ... 22-12-57 ... Govt of Mysore ...
... Collr ... copies ...

SECY LAW DEPT 5TH * GOVT OF MYSORE *

Sec A
Dy
29/12
DS ... reminder
Draft ...
... Govt

3961 680
2

Letter no D3-2178/43-44 dy 27-2-46 from the Dy Commr, Mysore st furnishing the information

Pr DII 10) The D C's letter is subd for perusal the requests sanction to the following proposals —

(1) the introduction of toll levy since 1942-43 by opening 2 bookstalls

(2) the appointment of 2 Tollpeons on a pay of Rs 10 each p m for the collection of toll &

(3) the payment of an allowance of Rs 5 p m to the Octroi Manager for attending to the toll work

11) The preliminary formalities to be observed before a levy is brought into force in any mcp have not been observed in this case.

12) If appd, the Law section will be requested to state whether the toll levied by the Bangalore Municipal Council without observing the preliminary formalities would be regarded as regular and may be approved

13) subd for orders

VLB 18/4 14) Bangalore is a Town Mcp in the D- Municipal Towns under sec 61 (1)(b) of the Mysore Town Mcps Act & 33 it is necessary that the previous sanction of Govt should be obtained before

a DM could be levied in
such a manner only during this
case, the provisions of the Statute have
not been observed at all

15) DM has been levied at Bonn and
effect from 10.5.43 and the
required establishment has also been
maintained therefor there is no
objection to the continuance of the establishment
in order to overcome this objection
the Lord Mayor has applied for
the sanction of the
the auditor has definitely pointed out that
the sanction of the first should be made to the
first levy of DM on 1.12.43 no
action was taken thereon in spite of the fact
that the provision of Law was fulfilled

16) Another strange thing is that a letter
dated 22.12 was addressed to the
D.C. by me from the office A
reply thereto is being received on 29.2.44
i.e after a delay of one year and 2 mo.
the points referred to therein have not
been answered at all.

"B"

"A"

P.T.O.

Tacc estt - Bauner 6180 Minor Med-

17) Mr D.C Rau -- reports his request for sanctioning the levy of the supplement & the enclosed

Receipt

18) As the levy is not made in accordance with the provisions of Sec 61(1)(b) of the Settlers Act & the rules framed thereunder, the levy cannot be sanctioned. We enquired levy should forthwith cease & the levy collected so far may be approved by the Govt

When the levy itself could not be sanctioned, question of refund of the amounts collected by the employees therefor, would be considered. Govt should be informed accordingly.

That neither the D.C nor his office on the one hand & the Panchayat on the other have complied with the provisions of this Act & the rules framed thereunder is apparent from the fact that the irregular levy was made even before the supplemental estimate was approved by the Govt

19) Mr D.C. may please be informed accordingly.

Revenue 18/4/14

9395/14.

20)

1) Is there legally no way out of the difficulty?
2) What are the receipts under this head to the Municipality
3) How was this irregular levy not noticed during inspection of office by DC?
4) What has the President to say in the matter?
5) Is there a resolution of the HI council requesting ratification of the illegal levy?
6) Was there a Gazette notification by the Municipality?
7) Were any objections received if so were these objections considered by the Municipality & how were they disposed of.
8) Were no formalities observed at all in the case?

[illegible] 6/4/46

21) [illegible] 11/6 2/4

22) [illegible]

6180 ~~4150~~ 8832

To the Dy Commr, Mysore Dt
No L 11446 Dt 23-3-46

Calls for some information within three days

Ours A 24/4

Letter No Ds. C2178/43-44 Dt 1-6-46 from the Dy Commr Mysore Dt furnishing the information called for.

29) The report received from the Dy Commr Mysore Dt is submitted for perusal with ref to Para 20 supra In the penultimate Para of his letter the Dy Commr states that there is no irregularity in the procedure & that there was some delay in seeking sanction of Govt

Ques) Bamm is a main rly. No lo could be levied therein unless the previous sanction of Govt was obtained thereto as laid down under sec 6 (1)(b) The levy is obviously void & its levy cannot be approved.

As there is no request of the Asst Engr except to discontinue its levy pertinent to take action to levy in accordance with the standing instructions after observing the prescribed formalities

Vide the correspondence ending with Rs 1412/7/- for 1942-43 — Rs 39 47/12/- for 1943-44 —

8832

-1) The petitioners were served with a copy of the instructions of the Drug Inspector but not with the previous Secretary of State

2?) The President has taken action in accordance with the instructions issued. The only reference to those instructions

4?) Means no restriction of the right of respondent to the alleged libel. It was held that a notice was issued requiring at one time per empty cart not exceeding 2 tons and per loaded cart not exceeding 4 tons

was received

3?) The required formalities have not been observed in this case - all the acts were not done in the manner prescribed by law on this behalf have not been adopted at all

?1) Since the prescribed formalities are not observed, the levy is void ab initio

dues contended

2?) We do not think that the appellant should have applied for a mandamus first as soon as the audit objection was raised

the Municipality that there is no irregularity in the assessment. The statements are incorrect, as the Act is not aware of the provisions of Sec 61 (1) (h) of the Municipal Act. The Act does not seem to have been set the dues are levied under the provisions of the Act

The Law section may be considered on the above point viz. of the levy may be continued but not the defect may be removed

3? Yes

Respectfully submitted

Law Secy

8832 3445/Law

34) For from of remarks in
the proposal of the D-c may me Dist
for the continuance of the levy of
FBS in the Borough Municipality under the
circumstances stated above

with accompg
the Town Clerk's letter) Asst Dep. (Mel) for
Law secty 29/6/16

f 29/6

13/11 subsch
31) Sanction of Govt for the levy of
FBS on which the a condition precedent
and the levy is therefore illegal (Under S 61 (1) (L)) How recourse may
be had to the Special powers of Govt
with regard to taxation (S 71, 72) to cancel
the levy or rescind any such order

MMA
VS 6.16 36) Under sec 6 (1-(h) of this Municipalities Act, the municipal council ~~could~~ could impose a toll on vehicles entering the municipality only with the sanction of the Govt the toll levied without such sanction is illegal



Law Secy) for
29/6
1/7/16 Asst Dep Secy (Mel) 29/6 Hon Ld

37) The Law Opinion is against the levy of tolls by the Bannu municipality without obtaining its sanction of Govt.

517

38) The levy and collection of Octroi in the Bannu municipality, as is mentioned in the Impugned Notification dated 23/9/17 is ultra vires, or it is opposed to the provisions of sec 6 (1)(b) of the Impugned under this act. The fact in this case is that the D.C has ordered it and he does not want to admit the irregularity, even when it is properly pointed out

39) The only alternative is to direct that it may be stopped at once and action taken in accordance with law to be kept, appropriately presented formalities. The D.C Impugned Notification will therefore be required accordingly as in accordance with Law mentioned above. Para 36 this Petition

Reply 4/7/18

40) It is unfortunate that in matters like this, prescribed formalities are not observed & Statutory obligations are not fulfilled. The levy is clearly irregular & the recovery of toll is ultra vires.

8832

41) When the defects are pointed out, the DC does not even admit it. This is surprising

42) The recovery shall be forthwith effected & the usual formalities taken according to law. The DC will be asked to explain why such unlawful action was taken & no reference was made to Govt

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR AGRICULTURE
JUL 10 1973
No 331

Minister for Agr) 10/7

43) I agree the levy of cess — 10/7 ms
face is illegal ab initio
and must be stopped at once
It was the duty of the DC
to have taken Secretariat
action earlier He may be
asked to explain why cess
unequal levy was permitted

44) DM letter to Mr

10/7 11/7/73 11/7 ms

8532 Ml 67-46

45) Submit draft letter for approval

15/7

46) Appreciation

17/7/46

Ml 4 To The Dy Commr, Mysore Dt

No L 953 Dt 19-7-46

13 JULY 1946
GEN & REV SECT

Issues instructions in the matter and requests him to explain why the irregularity was permitted in clear Contravention of the Statutory provisions of the Act

Orig A 26/7 Petn Dt 10 10 46 from the Municipal Councillors of Bannur requesting that the levy of toll which was stopped from 1-8 46 may be restored.

47) Minutes of the Minister for Rev & Communications on the Petn

" Rev Secy Has any report been received from the D C, if not please call for it on this Petn

(Sd) J Aug 10/10

Letter No D3. 2178/43-44 Dt 27-10 46 from the Dy Commr, Mysore Dt stating that the levy of toll in the Bannur minor mkt was stopped from 30-7-46 & the est also was disbanded & requesting sanction now to levy of toll in the mkt by opening out 2 Toll gates with a temporary check-gate

48) It is reported that the levy of toll in the Bannur minor mkt was stopped since 30th July 1946 & that the establishment entertained for the work was also disbanded.

The Bannur minor mkt could come under the proposals for the levy of toll in the mkts after observing the necessary formalities

Serial No

49) The Dy Commr, Mysore Dt reports that the levy of toll was continued in the mofs as the matter was under correspondence with the Govt.

50) Submit for orders

MKS 4/11

51) Where are the draft toll rules published by the Mfly & as proposed to be adopted by them?

L 4/11/46

52) Submit with a draft letter for appl.

MKS 4/11

53) Done

L 4/11/46

TYPING SECTION
5 NOV 1946
GEN & REV SECTT

To

The Dy Commr, Mysore Dt

No L 55 38 Dy 5-11-46

Requests him to forward a copy of the draft toll rules published in the mofs & are now proposed to be adopted by the meeting.

Draft 7/11

Letter No D3 C1600/46 47 Dy 10-12-46 from the Dy Commr, Mysore forwarding a copy of the Draft toll rules published in the mofs and which are proposed to be adopted by the meeting

The copy of the draft rules is flagged "K"

Submit with reference to para 51 supra

MKS 13/12

I put up a copy of the Mysore Motor Traffic Rules. Are the draft rules framed by the Bangalore Motor Mfg in accord with those rules?

L 14/12/46

Mk File No 4670/67 L of 1916 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

subd
A Copy of the rules regulating Taxation in
the Minor Inams in the State is put up
Rules framed by the Bangalore Minor Inam Cl
are in accordance with the rules

VKB 17/12 We will now frame rules
in accordance with those and
in the Manner of the Inam Rules
of putting up and Settlement the
Act relating thereto shall be adopted
and submitted, upon which a Notification
issued by

for 18/12/16

submit with a draft letter for appl

VKB 18/12

J Stuart
30/12/16

To The Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt
No L 9951 dt 13-1-17
Issues instructions in the matter

3961/2

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT

No D3 C. 1429/44-45.
Pncl Nuce

Dated, Mysore, the 6th December 1944

FROM

C M H RANAJODHA SINGH, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

SECY LAW DEPT GOVT OF MYSORE

GEN & PEV SECY -9 DEC 1944

TO

C. NARAYANASWAMY NAIDU, Esq., B A., B.L.,
Legal Remembrancer and Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, *Bangalore*

SIR,

Subject:- Sanctioning the Toll-establishment of the Bannur Minor Municipal Council, on a permanent basis

---

I have the honour to state that with a view to imporve the income of the Bannur Minor Municipality, the establishment of Toll-gates with two peons was sanctioned by this Office as an experimental measure for a period of three months in the first instance But subsequently as the establishment consisting of two peons on a pay of Rs 10/- each per mensem with a Dearness Allowance of Rs 3-8-0 was found to be inadequate, one more peon with similar pay and allowances was added on from the date of opening the Toll-gates During the period, the expected result materialised and the Municipality derived a better income As the continuance of the Toll-establishment proved to be a source of income, the Municipal Council at their meeting held on the 19th August 1943 resolved that the establishment might be continued throughout the year 1943-44 The President, Municipal Council, Bannur in his report No 70 of 43-44 requested that the Toll-establishment might be sanctioned till the end of the financial year 1943-44 But, sanction was accorded, in this office memo No D3 2271/42-43, for the continuance of the Toll-establishment only till the end of 10-5-1944

In the meanwhile, the Auditors who inspected the accounts of the Municipal Council Office for the year 42-43, have observed that the Toll-collection being a fresh levy its requires the sanction of Government The Municipal Council, Bannur, at their meeting held on the 26th May 1944 have resolved that sanction of Government might be obtained for placing the levy of toll and the establishment thereof on a permanent basis The President, Municipal Council, Bannur has also reported that the continuance of the Toll-establishment on a permanent basis will not only improve the income of the Municipality but will also be helpful to check eifectively the smuggling of dutiable goods by persons who try to evade payment of octroi duty

In the circumstances explained above, I request you kindly to obtain sanction of Government for the levy of Toll at Bannur with effect from 10-5-1943 and for the continuance of the Toll-establishment consisting of 3 peons on a pay of Rs.10/- and a Dearness

P T O

Dearness Allowance of Rs.3-8-0 each per mensem throughout the year 1943-44 and for treating this establishment as permanent with effect from 10-5-1944, when the sanction accorded by this Office expired

The resolutions of the Municipal Council and an extract of the Auditor's notes are enclosed herewith for reference and kind return.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

CSV:

1944 మేమీ మాహే మిూటింగ్ ప్రొసీడింగ్స్ 26-5-44

ప్రసిడెంటరవరు Mr N D Venkata Subbiah, B A & L B యం.వారు.

ఇూజరి మెంబరుగళు
7

× × × × × × × ×

స్పెక్టేటరి మెంబరుగళు
3

1) ఈ వెబుద పిటిషులు బియం ఆర్కాట్రియం లుట్మ్మెడగళ అవధియు కోచ్చిన్ అవస్థ కవిద్దల్లి కొట్టిబిల్‌నల్లి చెట్టి రిజ్రోటుగా పిూరతచేకొం కేకల్పిరువ రిజ్రీ.న D3 c 2271/42 43నే నవంబరు మీమే విచార

ఇూలు ఇరువనెరడం Municipal Officers ంంkంంవక గళఅవధియు 10 5 44 రల్లుము దిరుక్కే ఈలుట్మ్మెడగళం ంంOfficers లుట్టిక్కే ఇుట్టిబిరుక్కిరువ వుదరింద Municipality యం ఆధికార బిథికియు ఇదరింద అభ్యంతరబిల్ల యిూమంక్కిరువుదరింద ఈ ఎరడు లుట్మ్మెడగళన్ను ఖాయంమాడువుదు అవిశ్వాసంజూరు మాడువుదు కేరుతిరువ కారణవన్ను ప్రొద్దిక బకేనుగళ కేకిలవోవ్ నిబంధనెయు 10 5 44 రింద ఖాయం

మేం పరిశీలనెయల్లి మేలే ఈలుట్మ్మెడగళన్ను నడెదుక్కొండిరువుదరింద Officers లుట్మ్మెడగళన్ను ఖాయంమాడువ బగ్గే చర్చెయల్లి కూలంకష బిచారణెయల్లి బరి కెలువు బింబగళు బాధ్యనిల్లదిరువుదరింద ఆదరింద ఖాయంమాడి లుట్మ్మెడగళన్ను నడెసలు అనుమతి కొడెయబేకెంబ కేకిలవోవ్ నిబంధనెయు

Extract

(Sd) N D Venkata Subbiah

26 5 44. President

1943 నే ఆగష్టి నుండి మిగతావి కోర్సు చదవటానికి 1943
ప్రముఖులుగా Mr N D Venkata Subbiah, B A & L B గారు

ఆహ్వాన సభ్యులు	హాజరైన సభ్యులు
8	7

x x x x x x x x

9) ఆల్టర్నేషన్ మెజిస్ట్రేట్ మళ్ళీ సాధారణ మేజిస్ట్రేట్ అధికారాలు స్వీకరించటానికి వీలుగా ఈ ఏజెన్సీ ఆఫీసును 18 8 43 నాడు మూసివేయడమైనది

Toll Gate కార్యకలాపాలను 10 5 43 నుండి మూసి, ఇప్పటికే 10 రూ కలెక్షన్, 3-8-0 దీ A రసీదుకి 2 జతల చొప్పున రసీదులను నేటి నుండి కొంతకాలానికి ఈ దిగువ తెలిపిన విధంగా అంటే రూ 2 కి 2 జతల చొప్పున, రూ 5 కి 5 జతల చొప్పున రూ 10 కి 10 జతల చొప్పున నేటి నుండి కొంతమేరకు మార్పు చేయబడినది కావున Toll Gate ఇకపై ఆ తేదీ నుండి 30 6 1944 వరకు Temporary గాను Toll Gate Continue చెయ్యటానికి మార్పు చేయడం కొరకు కలెక్టర్ వారి ఉత్తరాయము

x x x x x x x x x

'True Copy'

(Sd) N D Venkata Subbiah
19 8 43 President

23 8 43
-8-43 President

Note on the audit of the account of the Bannur Municipality 1942-43

× × × × × ×

20 Toll Collections – No toll was being Collected by the Municipality during the period to 10/5/43 It was explained that to improve the revenue of the Municipality Collection of Toll was introduced from 10-5-43 as ordered by the Deputy Commissioner in his No DB C 2271/42-43 dated 27-4-43 and a Sum of Rs 412-7-0 as detailed in Margin was Collected during 42-43 As this is a fresh levy Sanction of Government is necessary and may be obtained early as required by Section 61(1)(h) of the Mysore Municipalities Act

5/43	Rs 156-3-0
6/43	256-4-0
Total	Rs 412-7-0

(Sd) M Narayana Rao 1/12/43
Assistant Comptroller
Mysore Local Audit Circle

× × × × × ×

L-6253. X 72 in reply

To

The D C Mysore

Sir

With refee to your
letter no D 3 C 1423
dated 6th December
regarding the levy of Toll
on Buses. I am
directed to invite
refee to cl (a) of Sect
61 of the Mysore Motor
Vehicles Act 1933 accdg
to which Toll, can be
levied in a municipal
only after taking the
previous sanction of Govt &
to ensure whether this
clear provision of law has
been complied with in the
case, as the levy would
otherwise be ultravires

2

Further, it is seen from the
reports of the Banaa Town
MC dated 26-5-19 that
the proposal is to
place the levy of octroi on
a permanent basis, to
Confirm the establishment employed
thereon but in your letter
under reply, mention has been
made of placing the levy of
toll on a permanent basis. The
discrepancy in the above facts
may be clearly explained &
if necessary also steps taken
levy may be made in any
other manner in the
Desh

3 What are the rates of the
in this Hill station is the amount
spent every year for maintenance?
The figures for three years may be
given separately. It is to be noted
that for the maintenance of roads in
the hill area must be included
annual recurring expenditure

4 A detailed report
showing the amount
collected by the levy of
toll during 42-43 &
43-44, together with
the cases instituted
This irregular levy
over which the statutory powers
was exercised might
have to part with
a week

5 The audit objection
this letter is dated 11/10/43
The reports of the MC
is dated 26-5-19
There has been a delay
running over one year
in the date of part
objection to make a
reference to Govt in
this important case
Mrs
delay
also reasons
explanation

I have the
honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

C D3 217c/45-46

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
Mysore Dated the 27th February 46

From

C L N Rajagopha Singh, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District



To

J B Nalleradhya, Esq , I A ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject:- Sanction to the Toll Establishment maintained in the Bannur Minor Municipality and to the grant of allowance to Octroi Manegar for Toll work

In forwarding herewith, the file of correspondence ending with the Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's memo No 45 / 27/45-46 dated 9-9-45 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that there was no system of toll in the Bannur Municipality prior to May 1945 With a view to prevent smuggling of dutiable goods and to augment the resources of the Municipality, sanction was accorded temporarily to the opening of 2 Octroi Cowrads as an experimental measure for a period of 3 months in the first instance, together with an establishment consisting of 2 Toll Peons on Rs 10 plus Rs-8-0 D A each in charge of the two Cowrds, for toll collection work in the year 1942-43, as recommended by the Municipality (vide copy of this office C,I No R Dis,2271/3-1-43 enclosed (Flag A) As the work increased, the Council proposed the grant of an allowance of Rs 5 to the Octroi Manegar in addition to his work as Octroi, Chatarfa and Conservancy Manager, with effect from 1st May 1943, in their resolution dated 29-5-43 (copy of which is enclosed) With a view to examine the necessity for incurring this extra expenditure, statistics of collection under mohatarfa, Octroi and toll were called for, for the past 5 years and the statement since received dated 28-12-44 is enclosed It will be seen therefrom that there has been a steady increase in the revenue under the above said 3 heads since 1939-40

The President ought to have applied for sanction of Government before the expiry of the period of temporary sanction for 1 year both to the opening of Cowrads and to entertainment of 2 toll peons, as also to the grant of allowance of Rs 5/- to the Octroi Manegar to which even temporary sanction of this office has not been obtained These irregularities have been noticed also in audit by the Assistant Comptroller vide extracts dated 1-12-43 and 14-9-44 of inspection notes enclosed

The attention of the President has been called to Rule 27 E of M A M and he is requested to avoid such irregularities in future

As, however, these establishments have been continued and expenditure incurred and as these establishments are very necessary also in view of the increase in Toll revenue I request that, Government may be kindly moved to accord necessary sanction to -

1 the introduction of toll levy since 1942-43 by opening 2 Cowrads
2 the appointment of 2 toll peons on Rs 10 each for collection of tolls both sanctioned by this office temporarily and
3 the payment of an allowance to the Manegar for attending to toll work in addition to his own duties to which sanction is since sought for

The question of entertainment of a Check toll gate keeper mentioned in the audit notes extracts of which are enclosed is under correspondence separately and orders of Government will be sought for after accounts report called for is received from the President

[redacted] are long outstanding questions [redacted] Government are solicited to regularise the transfer to dispose of also the pending audit objections.

I have the honour to [redacted]
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

Section

File No

Serial No

No 21146

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

To

The Dy Commr, Mysore Dt

Date of issue

23 Oct 46

Signed by

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no D3-2178/43-44 dt 27-2-46 on the matter of the levy of toll on the Bannur minor melt, I am directed to request you to furnish information on the following points within three days —

(1) Was there a gazette notification announcing the levy of toll on the said melt?

(2) Were all the formalities required in this behalf observed and if so, how were the objection petitions received in this behalf considered and disposed of by the melt?

(3) How was this irregular levy noticed and came to the notice of the inspection of the melt?

(4) What has been the date of the melt to say in the matter?

List of enclosures

Examined by

Copied by

WD 0874-4GPB—25,000—20 11 4

(b) Is there a resolution of the ~~Senate~~ subsequently at least requesting ratification of the illegal levy?

Personal attention may be known

Thanks etc

Arthur

for Secy to Comt

War Dept

belonging to the case of ~~Stone~~ Thanks we replied ~~to~~ within three days

ml 67-46-3.

No D3 C 2178/43-44

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
Mysore dated the 1st June, 1946



From

C H Ranajodha Singh, Esq, B A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, Mysore

To

J B Nallaradhya, Esq, M A,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject - Sanctioning the Toll Establishment of the Bannur Municipality on a - permanent basis - certain particulars called for be furnished re formalities observed etc,

With reference to your letter No L 11446 dated 22nd April 1946, calling for certain particulars on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith, a detailed report No 196/45-46 dated the 3rd May 1946 received from the President, Bannur Municipality on each of the points raised in the matter

It will be seen from the replies furnished, that the proposal to establish the two cookads was first made by the Members during inspections of the Municipal office on 22-4-1943, (an extract from which is enclosed), and following up this proposal, a resolution was passed by the Municipal Council on 30-4-1943 to open toll gates from 10th of the month, to promulgate the fact by beat of tom tom and to seek order of this office to do so in the matter (Vide copy of resolution enclosed) In the notification issued, the rates prescribed were also announced viz, 0-1-0 per empty cart or cycle, 0-2-0 per loaded cart and and it is stated that no objections were received thereto either for opening toll gates or for rates prescribed After trying it for a period of 3 months, it was found successful and encouraging also and, consequently a resolution was passed subsequently on 26-5-1944 recommending the establishment being made permanent as it brought additional Revenue to the Municipality

Further steps taken have all been explained in the detailed

Copy of C M No L3 C 2271/42-4o dated the 27th April 1943 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, Mysore

Official Memorandum

Subject - Correspondence ending with Periya No 230 of 41-42 dated Nil, (received in this office on 3rd February 48), from the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud reporting that in order to prevent the smuggling of dutiable goods and to improve the financial condition of the Bannur Municipality it is necessary that two Octroi Cokads should be established - one in Shanaj Naidu and another near the Right Sluice Channel on the Srirangapatam road as resolved by the Municipal Council and requesting therefore that sanction may be accorded to the establishment of two Cokads at the places mentioned above as an experimental measure for a period of three months in the first instance and to the construction of two temporary thatched sheds for the purpose at a total cost of Rs 20/- and also to the entertainment of two peons on Rs 8/- per mensem for being placed in charge of the said Cokads the cost thereof being met by re-appropriation out of the Savings that would be available in the provision made for lighting charges under the head D2 CD in the current year's Budget

-- -

During the inspection of the Municipal Office by the Deputy Commissioner on the 23rd April 43, it was urged by the Council ~~addr~~ e president that in order to improve the financial condition of the Municipality it is necessary that immediate sanction should be accorded to the establishment of the Cokads and to the entertainment of two peons on a pay of Rs 10/- plus D A 3-8-0 per mensem each for being placed in charge of the Cokads Sanction is therefore accorded to the establishment of the two Cokads as an experimental measure for a period of three months in the first instance and to the entertainment of the temporary establishment proposed i e, two peons on a pay of Rs 10/- plus D A 3-8-0 per mensem each and also to the erection of two temporary sheds for the purpose

The cost incurred on this account may be met out of the closing balance of the Municipality

---

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Immediate Mil 67-46-4

To, The Dy Commr, Mysore Sec 3/R dt 19.7.46

Sir, With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter No D3 C 2178/43-44 dt 1-6-46 in regard to the levy of toll on the Bannur Mhow mela and the continuance of the said therefor, I am directed to state that as the levy in question is not made in accordance with the provisions of Section 68 (b) of the Municipalities Act 1933 and the rules framed thereunder, it is unauthorised and the levy cannot be sanctioned. The irregular levy should be stopped at once.

I am to add that when the levy itself cannot be sanctioned much less can the staff employed for the collection be approved and it therefor should be disbanded forthwith.

If the Mc desire to levy the toll, they may be directed to take action according to the Statutory provisions after observing the prescribed formalities. The reasons for the levy and the necessity for the toll may be clearly explained.

I have etc

Secy to Govt

In this connection I am to observe
that it was your duty to have taken
suitable action earlier
(that the long delay in explaining it
to the local audit staff of the expenditure
(Office of Payments) and to request
you to explain why the requisite
action permitted in clear contravention of
the statutory provisions on this behalf
The records accompanying the
Appropriation Accounts

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR REVENUE
No 1883
Date 10/10/46

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT
2820
22 OCT 1946
G. BRANCH

To

J Appaji Gowda, Esq , B A , (Hons.)
Revenue Minister, Govt of Mysore,
(Camp) Mysore

Sir,

We, the undersigned Municipal Councillors of Bannur Town Municipality, most respectfully beg to submit the following facts for your honour's kind consideration and favourable orders

That in the Municipality of this Village, since three years, system of levying of toll was in force The octroi collection was gradually increased and it was one and a half times more than what it was before the introduction of toll From the toll collection, there was an excess income of Rs 3,000/- per year By this, the Municipality was very much benefitted and commenced to do some improvement works Such being the case, on a plea that there was no Government sanction to this effect, the toll system was stopped as per Order No L 953/ML 67-46-4 dated 19-7-1946 In obedience to the Government Order, toll collection was stopped from 1-8-46 To obtain Government sanction, as per rule Sec 61 (1) (H) Minor Municipality Act 1933, all the correspondence have been done through the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud

In the absence of the toll, in the Budget of 46-47 as there is 40% less in collection, it is not possible to give any grant or to do any public work or to do any contribution etc

In view of the above points, it is urged for orders for the restoration of toll collections once again and thus help the Municipality for its improvement, for which act of kindness we shall ever feel highly grateful to your honoured Sir

We beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servants,

Municipal Councillors of Bannur Town Municipality

Date 10-10-46

T Ramaiah Vice-president
H Sunjanaradhya

Statement showing the Income and from Octroi and Tolls of Bannur Municipality from 1939-40 to 45-46

For the Year	Income from Octroi	Income from Tolls	Remarks
1939-40	1,188-15-10		
40-41	1,253-5-0		Before toll
41-42	1,295-7-0		
42-43	1,564-7-0	156-3-0	From 10-5-43 to 30-6-43
43-44	2,234-14-4	2,947-12-0	
44-45	2,259-4-2	2,586-1-3	After toll
45-46	2,896-7-0	2,863-6-0	

For the current year 1946-47

Sanctioned estimate of Income	Sanctioned estimate of expenditure
Rs 11,075-0-0	Rs 11,268-0-0

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT
9204
31 OCT 1946
REVENUE BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District, CAMP HOSUR,
No D3-2178/43-44 Dated 27th October 1946

From

C M H RANAJODHA SINGH, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, Mysore

To

J B NALLARADHYA, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Rev Dept , Bangalore

Sir,

Levy of Toll in the Bannur Minor Municipality

Adverting to your letter No L 953/M1 67-46-4 dated 19th July 1946 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that immediately the letter was received, order was issued to the President, to stay the levy of tolls and disband the establishment at once and to send up fresh proposals for the levy after observing all the formalities

The President has since reported that the levy has been stopped since 30th July 1946 and has submitted a fresh proposal for the levy of Tolls in the Municipality by opening out 2 Toll gates - one towards the eastern end and another at the northern end of the Town with a temporary check-gate at the Link Road for a period of six months from the date of sanction Report No 40 dated 20th September 1946 is enclosed with the Sub Division Officer's memo thereon

In submitting the abov proposal, the President states that the revenue realised by the levy was approximately Rs 3000, per year and the cancellation of tolls has resulted in the loss of this entire revenue which has affected Municipal Budget considerably and jeoparadised its financial strength to a great extent and that as the position stands, the annual expenditure under all heads is nearly Rs 5,000/- which is more than the annual income and that in order that the Budget may be kept balanced, several important Town Improvement works

works will have either to be abandoned or if undertaken their cost will have to be met out of the Closing Balance which in either case is detrimental to the interests of the Municipality

It is therefore urged that the levy may be sanctioned. The Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, also has recommended the proposal in the interest of administration. The proposal was given due publicity and within a month allowed for objections, no objections are reported to have been received The Council which met on 6th September 1946 has resolved that sanction of Government might be obtained for the levy of Tolls - copy of Resolution is enclosed

I request in the circumstances that the proposal of the Council may kindly be sanctioned

As regards the point raised in para 3, the action taken from time to time has been explained in detail in my letters No D3-C 1429/44-45 dated 27th November 1944, No D3-2178/43-44 E" dated 18th February 1946 and No D3-C 2178/43-44 dated 29th May 1946 There was delay in reporting on the part of the Municipality and sanction of Government was sought for immediately after the report was received (Vide No D3 C 1429/44-45 dated 20th November 1944) As the matter was under correspondence with the Government to regularise the audit objection, as the levy was in the best interest of the Municipality, which by augmenting its resources helped to carry on the administration day to day, no action was taken to stop it pending orders of Government

Early orders are solicited on the introduction of Tolls ~~xxxx xxx~~ into the Municipality of Bannur

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

HRV

MUNICIPAL OFFICE
No. 20/2/46-1
BANNUR

Enclosure 5

ಹುಜರತ್ ಮೈಸೂರು ಡಿಪ್ರೆಸ್ಡ್ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಮಿಷನರ್ ಛಾಂಡೇ ಬರವರ
ಪ್ರಜೂರು ಜನಾಬಿ ಸೆ,

ಹುಜರತ್ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸೂಡುಪಟೆಡಿಲಿಜ್ಞ್ ಛಾಂಡೇ ಬರವರ ಪ್ರಜೂರುಜನಾಬ್
ವಲೂಲ್

ಯುನ್ನೂರು ವಲುಪಬ ಪಲ್ಕಿಕ್ಷಿಲ್ ಲೈಬ್ರೆರಿಯಂ ಪರಿಪೋಷಣೆ

ಯುನ್ನೂರು ವಲುಪಬ ಹಿಂಬಿ ಪಿಂಪಲ್ಸ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ರಾಪ್ಟ್ರಿಂಲು ಲೂಪ್ಟೆಕ್ಕಿ ಬುಡಳರವಿನ್ನಿಪ್ಪ
ದುದರಸ್ಪದ್ ಇತರ ವಿಧ್ಯಾಪಾದವರ ಮೂನ ಸಳ್ಳೂಲ್ಲವಿನ್ನಿಪ್ಪಿದ್ದು ವಿವಸ್ಪಿ
ಇಂಪ್ರೂವ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಪ ನೈರೆ ಡೆಲುಪ ಸಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಪಾಂಷ್ಟಪ್ಪತ್ತಿ ದ್ರವ್ಯವದ ಸದಪಬಂ
ಯು ಲೂಪ್ಟೆಕ್ಕಿ ರಿಂಯುನಪ್ಪಲು ಲುಕ್ಕಪುನೆಗೂ ಳಭಲು ಪಾಂದರಿಂಪ 27 4-43ನೇ
ವರುಷಂ D3 C227/ನೈ42-43ನೇವಂ ಮೆಮೋ ಪ್ರೊಕ್ಟೇಡಂ ಲ್ಲೂಪ ಪಂಪಬ 10-5-43
ರ ಲೂಗೂ ಯಲ್ಲು ಟೌನ್‌ಲು ಸಳ್ಳಿನ್ನಿಪ್ಪುತೆಲುಪ ಪಲೂಡ್ಲುತ್ತಿಕ್ಕಿನ್ನು ಇದರಿಂದ ಆಕ್ಟ್ರಾಪ್ಟ್ರಿ
ಯಿಲು ಪ್ಪೆಕ್ಕಿ ರಿಯು ಲುಕ್ಕಪುನ ಮೊಂತದ್ದಲ್ಲಾದೆ ಪೌಲಸಳ್ಳ ಲೂಪ್ಟೆಕ್ಕಿ ಪುವೂ
ರುವರ್ಷವಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಪಿ೦೦೦/- ಸಳ್ಳು ಲೂಪ್ಟೆಕ್ಕಿ ರಿಯೂನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಲಿಕ್ಕಿನ್ನು
ಈಟೌನ್‌ಲು ಸಳ್ಳನ್ನು ಹಿರ್ಪುಪೆಂಟ್ ಹಿಪ್ಪಿಂಪ್ಟ್ ಕರೆಯಂದೆ ಕೆಲಿದುಪೆಲುಪ ಪೂರು
ತ್ತಿರುಂಚುದರಿಂದ ರೂಲ್ಸೆ ಟೌನ್‌ಲು ಸಳ್ಳು ಕೆಸೆಯ ಪೋಪೆಂತೆಲೂ 1933ನೇ
ಪ್ಪಿವರ್ ಮೂದಪಿ ಹಿಂಲು ಟಿ ಸಳ್ಳ ಆಕ್ಟ್ 61(1)(ಬಿ) ನೇ ಪೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪೌ
ಪದಾಲು ಟೌನ್‌ಲು ಸಳ್ಳನ್ನು ಕೆರೆಸಿಯಲು ಹಿರ್ಪುಪ್ರವುಪ್ಪೆಸೆಯಂರು ಹಿರ್ಪುಪೆಂಟ್
ಹಿಪ್ಪಿಂಪ್ಟ್ ಉನ್ನೆ ಪಾಪ ಪಪೋದೆಂತ ಹಿರ್ಪುಪೆಂಟ್ ರಿಪಿನ್ನಾ ಬೆಪೆಕ್ಕಿಯ ವರ
17-7-46ನೇ ವುಪರ್ ನಿ 7253/ಸಿ 67-46 4ನೇವಂ ಲೆಟರೆಲ್‌ಲು
ವಪಿಬ ವಪ್ಪಿ ಧಾನಪದ ತಲ್ಪಿಲಪಿಂದ ಪಾಂದರಿಂದ 25-7-46ನೇ ವುಪರ್
D3 2178/43-44ನೇವಂ ಮೆಮೋ ಅನುವರಿಪಿ 30-7-46ರಿಂದ ಪದ
ರಿಟೌನ್‌ಲು ಸಳ್ಳನ್ನು ನಿಪ್ಪಾಪಿರುಪ್ಪೆಯಲ್ಲಾದೆ 61(1)(ಬಿ) ನೇ ಪೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಪೋಲೆ
ಹಿರ್ಪುಪ್ರವುಪ್ಪೆಸೆಯಂರು ಪೆಲ್ಲಪೋಯೆಂತ ಕಿಲೆವರ್ ಪಲಿಂದ 29-7-46ನೇ ವುಪರ್
ಪ್ಲೈನ್ ಬಿಲ್ ರಿಪಿಲ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಆಪ್ತಾವರಿಂಪ ದೆಶಂ ಬರಿ ಕೆಪಿನ್ನಿ ಲನಕ್ಕೆ
ಪಿಲುಶ್ಚಿನ್ನಿ

The Municipal Council have in their
meeting dated 6 9 1946 unanimously resolved

resolved that Govt sanction should be obtained for ~~the~~ restoring the toll Revenue by the Establishment of the Toll-Gates in the Municipal area -

The Revenue which the tolls yielded per year was approximately Rs 3000/- and the Octroi also would increase by about Rs 1500/- as the Establishment of Toll-Gates would avoid Smuggling Now that the Toll-Gates have ceased to function owing to the technical defect of not obtaining the Govt order, nearly 40% of the annual income provided in the Budget has been lost for the Municipality. This has jeopardised the financial strength of the Municipality to a great extent. As the position now stands, the annual Expenditure of the Municipality under all heads detailed in the Budget is nearly Rs 5000/- more than the income To maintain Equity, several important ~~Work~~ Town-improvement works will have to be abandoned or the Extra Expenditure met out of the closing Balance of the Municipality Both are detrimental to the Municipality and upset the balance - A comparative year-wise Statement showing the Toll-Revenue lost to the Municipality and also

also a Copy of the Sanctioned Budget Estimate for 46-47 are Enclosed here-with for kind perusal-

If towards the working of the Toll-Revenue is Sanctioned, two Toll Gates one towards the Eastern End (at the Junction of T Narasipur Kabbalali & Kaveriya Roads) & one another at the Northern End (at the Junction Road Coming from Mysore via Srirangapatnam) will have to be Established In addition, a Temporary Check Toll-gate at the Link Road for a period of Six months from will be opened Every year, as was done the very recently

In the interest of the Municipality and as was done the resolved by the Municipal Council, I request that Sanction & Govt may kindly be obtained for the opening of the above Toll-Gates in the Municipal area

Yours faithfully
20/9/46
President
Bannur Municipality

if 2049
A5
29 9 46
TO

AS M 19/46-47
Encls one file

Memo

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner.

Mysore Toll Revenue was one of the important items of income of the Bannur Municipality. Without it, it is not possible for the local body to function satisfactorily. As resolved by the Municipal Council, a recommendation may kindly be made to Govt for the early re-establishment of the Toll gates in Bannur.

Connected papers accompany.

G Narasimiah
29 9 46
SDO
Nanjangud



4397

Statement Showing the Income from Octroi and Tolls of Bannur Municipality from 1939-40 to 45-46

For the Year	Income from Octroi	Income from Tolls	Remarks
1939 40	1188-15-10	---	—
40 - 41	1153-5-0		
41 - 42	1295-7-0		
42-43	1564-7-0	156-3-0	From 10-5-43 to 30-6-43
43 44	2234-14-4	2947-12-0	— —
44-45	2259-4-2	2586-1-0	— —
45-46	2896-7-0	2863-6-0	— — —
		8396	— — —

M. Muhammad Hasanullah
10.9.46
President
Bannur Municipal Council

Dwd

Statement Showing the Sanctioned estimate of income and Expenditure of Bannur Municipality for the year 1946-47

For the year	Sanctioned Estimate of income	Sanctioned Estimate of Expenditure	Remarks
1946-47	11 075-0-0	11 268-0-0	

10.9.46.

Bannur Municipal Council

1946వ సంవత్సరం సెప్టెంబరు నెల 6వ తేదీ మంగళవారం మధ్యాహ్నం 4 గంటలకు సమావేశం

అధ్యక్షులు Mr N D Venkata Subbiah, B A B L గారు

హాజరు సంతకాలు

4

11

x x x x x x x x x x

1) మునిసిపాలిటీ పరిధి పెంచడం (Municipal limits పెంచడం) విషయమై సభ్యుల అభిప్రాయం తెలుసుకోవడం

Procedure ప్రకారం ఈ విషయమై తీర్మానాలు చేయడానికి objections అంటే ఇబ్బందులు లేవా అని తెలుసుకోవడానికి ఈ విషయమై ప్రత్యేకంగా ఒక సమావేశం ఏర్పాటు చేస్తే సభ్యులకు సౌకర్యంగా ఉంటుందని ఆలోచించి ఈ సమావేశం ఏర్పాటు చేయడమైనది.

x x x x x

(Sd) N D Venkata Subbiah
President
6-9-46

Extract

18-9-46 President

MUNICIPAL OFFICE
No
BELLARY

ಬುನ್ನೂರು ಪ್ಲಿನಾದ ವಲುವಣಿಲಿಲ್ಕೋಳ್ಳಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಿಂಬಿಂತಿ ಕವಕ ಲಭಿಂಗಿಂದಂ
ಸಡಿಮಿ ಬಲಿಲ ಪಾಡಕ್ಕೆಂ ಬಂಸು ಬಿ ಬಳಿಯಂ ದಿಡ ದುಂಪ್ಪಿಂದೆ ಗಾರಂ—

ಈ ಮುಂದಿನ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯನ್ನು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ತಿಳಿವಳಿಕೆಗಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಪುರಸಭೆಯ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ಕಮಿಟಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಆಫ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರ್
(ಮಾದರಿ) 61(1)(ಡಿ) ಕ್ಲಾಸಿಫೈಡ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದ
ಮೋಟಾರು ವಾಹನಗಳನ್ನು ಬಾಡಿಗೆಗೆ ಕೊಡುವ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮೋಟಾರು ವಾಹನಗಳ
ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಪುರಸಭೆಯ ಮೋಟಾರು ವಾಹನಗಳ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳು
ಮತ್ತು ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಪುರಸಭೆಯ ಮೋಟಾರು ವಾಹನಗಳ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ
ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಮೋಟಾರು ವಾಹನಗಳ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಮತ್ತು
ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಮೋಟಾರು ವಾಹನಗಳ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳು
ಅಂದ್ರೆ 31-8-46 ನೇ ಶುಕ್ರವಾರದಿಂದ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ವಾಹನಗಳ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಿಯಮಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ
ನಡೆಯಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಹಾಗೆ ನಡೆಯದ್ದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ದಂಡಕ್ಕೆ ಗುರಿಯಾಗಬೇಕಾಗುವುದೆಂದೂ
ತಿಳಿಸಲು ವಿನಂತಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

N. B – ವಾಹನಗಳು ಮಾಡುವ ಮೋಟಾರು ಶಬ್ದವನ್ನು ಕಡಿಮೆಗೊಳಿಸುವಂತೆ
ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ.

[illegible]
President,
29-7-46 Municipal Council,
Bellary

ಮಾದರಿ ಮಾಡೋ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೆ
ಪ್ರಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಈ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ
ಇದು [illegible] ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 31-8-46 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

[illegible]
29-7-46 President 29-7-46

B. Suddegowda

T Ramanaiah

Szedgalal

W Suryanarayana

D L Lingegowda

B Venkata Subbaiah

Submitted

B Venkata Subbaiah
Clerk

20-8-46

AS M 19/46-47
Encls one file

Memo

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner.

[redacted]

[redacted]	0 6 0
[redacted]	0 4 0
3 [redacted]	0 [illegible] 0
4 [redacted]	0 2 0
5 [redacted]	0 1 0
6 [redacted]	0 3 0
7 [redacted]	0 1 0

J Ramarao
29 7 46

29-7 46 [redacted]

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

No 2 5538

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

To

The Dy Commr, Mymensingh Dt,

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No D3-2178/43-4 Dy 27-10 46. re the levy of tolls in the Banmur minor mehs; I am directed to request you to forward a copy of the draft toll rules published in the mehs for which proposed to be adopted by them. I am to say that all the formalities prescribed under the rules have been observed

Date of issue 5-11-46

Signed by

for Secy to Govt
Irrig Revenue Deptt

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02745—G I B—2 000—24 6 46

GEN SECTION 4670 12 DEC 1946 G BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District.

No.D3.C.1600 of 46-7 Mysore dated the 10th December 1946.

From

C M.H. RANAJODHA SINGH, Esq , B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
M y s o r e

To

U. B. MALLARADHYA, Esq , M A.,
Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
B a n g a l o r e

S i r,

Levy of toll in the Bannur Municipality.

Adverting to your letter No.L.44 5538 dated 5th November 1946, on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith, a copy of the Draft toll rules published in the Municipality and which are proposed to be adopted by the Municipal Council The proposed levy was published by the Council on 29-7-1946 with 30 days' time (i e., till the end of 30-8-1946) for receiving objections and it is reported that no objections were received The isthihar published with proposed rates on vehicles and copy of resolution approving the rules together with the President's report No.76 of 46-47 stressing the need for levy are enclosed Formalities required under the rules of Minor Municipalities Act have been observed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY-COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District.

TS/

Appendix F G --1]

SUBJECT

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

No L995/1

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

To

The Dy Commr, Mysore Dt,

Date of issue 13/1/47

Sir,

Signed by Mr G R R

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter No D3 C 1600/46-7 Dy 10-12-46 in the matter of the levy of tolls in the Bannur Minor Mkt, I am directed to point out that the rules framed by the said Mcl in this behalf are not in accordance with those ~~framed by~~ the Rules regulating taxation in the Minor Mkts in the State, I am therefore to request you to instruct the Mcl to adopt the said rules and submit them to Govt after observing the

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

prescribed formalities A copy

The records accompany for enclosed herewith
reference & retransmission for early reply

I have etc
for Secy of State
Wm. Rev Hepburn